

Inorganic Chemistry

Monoamide Derivatives of EDTA Incorporating Pendent Carboxylates or Pyridyls: Synthesis, Metal Binding, and Crystal Structure of a Dinuclear Ca^{2+} Complex Featuring Bridging Na^+ IonsRaminder S. Mulla,^[a] Javier Pitarch-Jarque,^[b] Enrique García-España,^[b] Tanya Desa,^[c] Elena Lurie-Luke,^[c] and J. A. Gareth Williams^{*,[a]}

EDTA is a powerful, cheap and widely-used chelating unit for a large range of metal ions. To link it covalently to other molecules, the formation of an amide bond using one of the carboxylates is an attractive and simple approach, even though it may compromise metal ion binding as one of the four carboxylate donors is lost. Here we undertake a quantitative study of the metal ion binding of two new mono-amide derivatives of **EDTA**, namely **AmGly**₁ and **AmPy**₁, featuring an additional coordinating carboxylate or pyridyl group in the amide, respectively. The compounds are conveniently synthesised through alkylation of the tris-*t*-butyl ester of ethylenediamine-triacetic acid with the appropriate α -chloroamide. The switch from carboxylate to amide is found to impact Fe^{3+}

binding the most amongst the metal ions examined (Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Fe^{3+} , Zn^{2+}), with a drop in $\log K(\text{ML})$ of around 10 units in aqueous solution. The affinities for the other metals are less severely affected. Nevertheless, at the physiologically relevant pH of 7.4, their ability to bind Fe^{3+} is only reduced by around 6 log units when pFe^{3+} is considered (where $[\text{L}]:[\text{Fe}^{3+}] = 10:1$). **AmGly**₁ forms a dinuclear Ca^{2+} complex which has been characterised crystallographically. It is a C_2 -symmetric 2:2 complex featuring a dicapped octahedral coordination geometry around Ca^{2+} . In the crystal, the $\text{Ca}_2(\text{AmGly}_1)_2$ units are linked via hydrated Na^+ ions coordinated by a number of the oxygen atoms, including the pendent carboxylate.

Introduction

EDTA-type building blocks are used as metal-binding units in synthetic peptides,^[1] nucleic acid affinity cleavage reagents^[2–5] and monoclonal antibody-based radiopharmaceuticals.^[6] In

Points of conjugation:



Figure 1. Strategies for conjugating an **EDTA** unit to other molecules.

[a] Dr. R. S. Mulla, Prof. J. A. G. Williams
Department of Chemistry
Durham University
Durham, DH1 3LE, U.K.
E-mail: raminder.mulla@durham.ac.uk
j.a.g.williams@durham.ac.uk

[b] Dr. J. Pitarch-Jarque, Prof. E. García-España
Instituto de Ciencia Molecular (ICMol)
Universidad de Valencia
C/ Catedrático José Beltrán, 2, 46980, Paterna, Valencia, Spain.

[c] T. Desa, Dr. E. Lurie-Luke
Procter and Gamble Technical Centres Limited
Rusham Park
Whitehall Lane, Egham, Surrey, TW20 9NW.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.201700995>

order to prepare **EDTA** derivatives that may then be conjugated to other molecules, four main strategies are used.^[7] They are: (i) the incorporation of a reactive group on the ethylene diamine backbone of an **EDTA** derivative,^[8] (ii) the incorporation of such a group into the methylene bridge,^[9] (iii) using one of the carboxylate groups of **EDTA** to form an ester linkage, or (iv) using one of the carboxylates to form an amide linkage. These approaches are illustrated in Figure 1. Each has different merits. The first two, although synthetically more laborious, afford building blocks which retain four carboxylate units to bind to the desired metal ions with affinities that are likely to be very close to those of **EDTA**. In contrast, the use of an ester or amide linkage can be less demanding synthetically, but may be expected to compromise the metal ion binding strength of the chelating unit.^[10]

Even though the possibility of a loss in binding strength has been highlighted previously,^[8,9] no studies have been published on interactions of the **EDTA** mono-amide motif with the metal ions most abundant in biological systems (i.e. Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Mn^{2+} and Zn^{2+} ; and the trivalent Fe^{3+}). If binding data for **EDTA** mono-amides were to be collected and compared to those reported for **EDTA**, then the impact of the amide group on metal ion binding might be assessed. Moreover, the data may allow for some insight into *in vivo* speciation, and the favourability of transmetallation for systems incorporating **EDTA** mono-amide motifs.






Figure 2. The structures of the **EDTA** mono-amide derivatives **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁**, which have been synthesised and characterised in this work, together with that of **EDTA** itself.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of triester **3**, its alkylation using **1** or **2**, and subsequent deprotection to afford **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁**.

To begin such an investigation then, we synthesised the novel ligands **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁** (Figure 2) – which bear coordinating pendant groups – as easily characterisable models for many of the more complex **EDTA** mono-amide structures (e.g. those conjugated to antibiotics, polypeptides and reactive functional groups) that are found in the literature and have been used as reagents in biological studies.^[11–15] We then measured their protonation constants and binding constants to Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Fe^{3+} and Zn^{2+} ions via potentiometry. Where possible, X-ray crystallography has been used to study the coordination chemistry of the metal complexes which these ligands form.



Scheme 1. The synthesis of key electrophiles **1** and **2**.

Results and Discussion

Ligand synthesis

In contrast to earlier syntheses of **EDTA** mono-amides reported in the literature, which often rely on amide coupling chemistry on the partially hydrolysed triethyl ester of **EDTA** or the partial reaction of the bis-anhydride of **EDTA**, we employed an amine alkylation strategy often used in the preparation of complexing

agents for lanthanide ions. This enabled access to **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁** by first using chloroacetyl chloride to prepare key electrophiles **1** and **2** (Scheme 1), which can then be used to alkylate triester **3** (Scheme 2). Because most of the intermediates along the route are relatively nonpolar and soluble in organic solvents, they can be prepared on a gram scale and are easily purified via typical laboratory techniques.

Initially, intermediate **3** was prepared via the route of Micklitsch,^[16] in which *N*-benzylethylene diamine is alkylated using *t*-butyl bromoacetate and then debenzylated via catalytic hydrogenation, but it was found to be more convenient to use a statistical reaction between ethylenediamine and *t*-butyl bromoacetate, to access **3** in 20% yield in one step.^[17] Although this approach gave lower yields, the faster access to **3** outweighed the disadvantage of the reduced yield.

Alkylation of **3** to form **4** and **5**, followed by acid-mediated deprotection, thus afforded **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁**. Product identity was confirmed via MS and NMR, whilst the homogeneity of the products was confirmed by HPLC.

Although combustion analysis was impracticable on these hygroscopic ligands, we were able to assess their extent of hydration prior to potentiometric analysis by the use of quantitative ¹H NMR to introduce a molecular weight correction. This correction was in turn verified by the satisfactory fitting of the experimental titration data.

Potentiometric measurements

Solutions for study were prepared at an ionic strength of 0.15 M using KCl and contained 1 mM of the analyte ligand. Four protonation constants were determined for both **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁** (Table 1). The first protonation ($\log K = 9.76$ and 9.44) is believed to be on a tertiary amine group for each ligand and the values are lower than those of **EDTA**, which we attribute to the inductive effect of the amide groups present, by analogy with earlier work on symmetrical **EDTA** bis-amides.^[18]

Table 1. Protonation constants^[a] at 25°C, I = 0.15 M KCl, for **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁**, with values for **EDTA**^[b] included for comparison. Charges are omitted for clarity. Values in brackets (where appropriate) are the standard deviation in the last significant figure

Species	log K ^[a] (AmGly₁)	log K(AmPy₁)	log K(EDTA) ^[b]
HL	9.76 (1)	9.44 (7)	10.17
H ₂ L	4.69 (1)	5.42 (1)	6.11
H ₃ L	3.61 (1)	3.99 (7)	2.68
H ₄ L	2.27 (2)	2.13 (2)	2.0
H ₅ L	-	-	1.5

[a] $K = [H^{n+}L] / [H][H^nL]$

[b] Data from Martell and Smith^[19] at T = 25°C and I = 0.1M.

Using UV-Vis spectroscopy to monitor the decrease in the absorbance of **AmPy₁** at 274 nm as a function of increasing pH, we were able to assign the second protonation of **AmPy₁** (log K = 5.42) as being on the pyridyl ring. Aside from this protonation constant, the other protonation constants for both **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁** are quite similar, most likely because of the structural similarity between the two ligands.

Both **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁** form stable complexes at alkaline pH with Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Fe³⁺, Mn²⁺ and Zn²⁺. Distribution diagrams for the complexes formed have been provided in *Figures S1-4* of the Supporting Information.

For both **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁**, the most stable complex formed was that of Fe³⁺, consistent with the relative hardness of the donor set. The difference between metal ion affinities for Zn²⁺ and Fe³⁺ that **AmGly₁** shows is notable, since it is unusually small compared to classical aminocarboxylate ligands like **EDTA** and **DTPA**, which show a separation of 5–10 log units between their Zn²⁺ and Fe³⁺ affinities to the fully deprotonated ligand.^[19] When the metal–ligand stability constants of the deprotonated “L” forms of **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁** are compared to those of **EDTA**, a reduction of 2 to 3 log units is seen for binding to Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺ and Zn²⁺, due to one less carboxylate group being present in **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁**.

For Fe³⁺, a much more marked destabilisation of about 10 log units is noted, presumably due to the especially hard character of Fe³⁺ being more suited to the tetra-carboxylate donor set of **EDTA**. Indeed, these differences demonstrate the profound impact that small changes in the donor set of a ligand can have on metal ion affinity and selectivity.^[20]

The low K (MH₁L) values of 3.91 and 4.0 measured for the Fe³⁺ complexes of **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁** also warrants comment, and may be due to deprotonation of their amide groups leading to N- instead of O- coordination of the amide groups to Fe³⁺; a coordination mode proposed by Martell^[21] for the Fe³⁺ complex of the symmetrical bis-amide analogue of **AmGly₁**.

pMⁿ⁺ calculations

So as to enable cross comparison of the effect of the pendent groups on metal ion binding between **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁**, pMⁿ⁺ values (where $pM^{n+} = -\log[M^{n+}_{free}]$) were calculated from our potentiometric titration data using the HySS^[22] software (Table 3) and compared to those obtained for **EDTA**

Table 2. Formation constants at 25°C, I = 0.15 M KCl for metal ion complexes of **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁**. Values in brackets (where appropriate) are the standard deviation in the last significant figure

Equilibrium ^[a]	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Fe ³⁺	Mn ²⁺	Zn ²⁺
AmGly₁					
log K (MHL) ^[b]	4.19 (3)	5.6 (1)	3.06 (1)	3.61 (2)	3.49 (2)
log K (ML) ^[c]	9.31 (2)	6.63 (4)	15.27 (5)	11.97 (1)	14.11 (3)
log K (MH ₁ L) ^[d]	11.6 (1)	-	3.91 (8)	11.44 (6)	10.17 (9)
AmPy₁					
log K (MHL)	4.75 (4)	-	3.82 (2)	4.86 (2)	4.65 (5)
log K (ML)	8.71 (3)	5.84 (2)	15.3 (1)	10.99 (2)	13.67 (9)
log K (MH ₁ L)	-	-	4.0 (1)	10.85 (4)	8.9 (2)
EDTA^[e]					
log K (MHL)	-	-	-	3.1	3.0
log K (ML)	10.61	8.83	25.0	13.81	16.44

[a] Charges have been omitted for clarity.

[b] $K(MHL) = [MHL]/[ML][H]$

[c] $K(ML) = [ML]/[M][L]$

[d] $K(MH_1L) = [ML]/[MH_1L][H]$, either due to metal ion hydrolysis, or amide deprotonation.

[e] Data from Martell and Smith^[19] at T = 25°C and I = 0.1M.

Table 3. pMⁿ⁺ values at pH 7.4 at 25°C, I = 0.15M KCl for **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁**. [L] = 10 μmol dm⁻³ and [Mⁿ⁺] = 1 μmol dm⁻³

Species	AmGly₁	AmPy₁	EDTA
Ca ²⁺	7.9	7.6	8.8
Mg ²⁺	6.1	6.0	7.0
Fe ³⁺	17.4	17.6	23.2
Mn ²⁺	10.6	9.9	12.0
Zn ²⁺	12.7	12.6	14.6

under the same simulated conditions, in which a ligand to metal ratio of 10 to 1 is used.^[23] By comparing the extent of metal ion sequestration in this way, the protonation states of each ligand could be accounted for, giving a better indication of how extensively **AmGly₁** and **AmPy₁** sequester the metal ions under study at physiological pH.

It is interesting to note that at pH 7.4, although the pMⁿ⁺ values for **EDTA** are all higher than those of the **EDTA** mono-amides, the values of pFe³⁺ for the mono-amide ligands are only 6 log units less than that of **EDTA**, which would not be expected from a simple comparison of the Fe³⁺-ligand binding data for the “L” form of either ligand, and so not accounting for their basicities.

It can be seen from the pMⁿ⁺ values that, with the exception of Fe³⁺, **AmGly₁** has slightly higher metal ion affinities than **AmPy₁** but the difference in pendent groups does not appear to have a marked effect on the extent of metal ion sequestration around physiological pH.

These data are the first quantitative indication of the extent to which metal ion affinities are impacted when a carboxylate group is exchanged for an amide in **EDTA** type fragments, and so may enable a critical evaluation of their use in the design of the systems mentioned earlier.

Structures of AmGly₁ in the solid state

We were able to obtain crystal structures of **AmGly₁** in the free state, and as its Ca²⁺ complex. The crystallographic data are given in Table S1 of the Supporting Information. Free **AmGly₁** crystallised as its zwitterion from aqueous solution at pH=2, after slow evaporation. The ligand crystallises in space group P2₁/c, and displays moderately strong hydrogen bonding between proton H2 and carboxylate oxygen O8 (2.044 Å, Figure 3). Another hydrogen bond, of length 1.996 Å, is present




Figure 3. Molecular structure in the crystal of the **AmGly₁** ligand. Hydrogen bond distances are in Å. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.

between H3 and amide oxygen O3. These hydrogen atoms are on the tertiary amine groups of **AmGly₁** and the H2–O8 distance is the shorter of the two.

A Ca²⁺ complex of **AmGly₁**, **Ca₂(AmGly₁)₂** (molecular formula: (4[Na₃Ca(H₄AmGly₁) (H₂O)₆] 4Cl•3H₂O)₃•H₂O), Figure 4), was obtained through slow evaporation of a basified solution of CaCl₂ and **AmGly₁**. This 2 : 2, C₂-symmetric binuclear complex possesses a dicapped octahedral coordination geometry about the Ca²⁺ ions, both of which are 8-coordinate. The coordination set of each Ca²⁺ ion is identical, and consists of two tertiary amines, the amide oxygen and three carboxyl oxygens, all of which are from a single **AmGly₁** unit. Each **AmGly₁** ligand also possesses a μ-carboxylate donor which coordinates to both Ca²⁺ ions in the complex, leading to a Ca–Ca distance of 3.778(1) Å, which is similar to other binuclear Ca²⁺ complexes containing bridging carboxyl donors.^[24,25] Both Ca²⁺ ions are also coordinated by one water molecule which completes the coordination sphere.

The bond distances and bite angles (Table 4) for the chelate rings in **Ca₂(AmGly₁)₂** are also comparable to those found in eight-coordinate Ca²⁺ complexes with similar donor sets.^[26–29] Furthermore, it can be seen that the pendent carboxylate group does not coordinate to either Ca²⁺ ion in the dimer.




Figure 4. A simplified view (omitting H atoms, Na⁺ cations, associated water molecules and Cl[−] ions) of the binuclear **Ca₂(AmGly₁)₂** complex, illustrating the coordination environment around the Ca²⁺ atoms, which are shown in cyan. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.

Table 4. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for **Ca₂(AmGly₁)₂**

Bond distances		Bond angles	
Bond	Distance / Å	Atoms	Angle / °
Ca1—O8 ⁱ	2.341 (3)	N2—Ca1—N3	69.60 (11)
Ca1—O8	2.447 (3)	O3—Ca1—N2	67.24 (10)
Ca1—O3	2.420 (3)	O5—Ca1—N2	67.63 (10)
Ca1—O10	2.524 (3)	O3—Ca1—N3	91.52 (10)
Ca1—O6	2.422 (3)	O5—Ca1—O8	174.05 (9)
Ca1—O5	2.351 (3)	O5—Ca1—O10	70.93 (9)
Ca1—N3	2.599 (3)	O8—Ca1—N3	63.15 (9)
Ca1—N2	2.577 (3)	O8 ⁱ —Ca1—O8	68.72 (11)

(i) −x + 3/2, y, −z + 1/2;

Although the contacts are not shown in Figure 4, the oxygens coordinated to the Ca²⁺ ions in the dimeric unit, i.e. O1, O2, O5, O9, O14 and the water oxygen, O10, also coordinate to Na⁺ ions, which are in turn hydrated by either one or two water molecules, depending on the relative positions of the Na⁺ ions in the chain. These six Na⁺ ions serve to separate individual **Ca₂(AmGly₁)₂** units to give an A-B-A-B-type structure in the individual chains, which are in turn linked to one another by N–H...Cl[−] and H–O–H...Cl[−] hydrogen bonding interactions (Figure 5).

As a complement to the work of Ulvenlund *et al.*, who showed that hydrogen bonding interactions between **EDTA** amides bearing pendent uracil moieties could allow the formation of linear tape-like structures,^[30] our results show that interactions between the peripheral groups on ligands like **AmGly₁** and cations are an alternative strategy for the generation of supramolecular systems based on amides of **EDTA**, and that these groups can be incorporated without perturbing the coordination of the central metal ion.




Figure 5. Lateral (left) and upright (right) views of the polymeric structure of $\text{Ca}_2(\text{AMGly})_2$ showing the bridging Na^+ ions between each dimeric unit (purple) and Cl^- ions between individual chains (green). All of the metal ions, Cl^- ions and non-coordinating water molecules have been shown as spheres to emphasise their location in the structure.

Conclusions

The novel ligands **AMGly**₁ and **AMPy**₁ have been synthesised and their metal ion binding behaviours to a selection of biologically relevant metal ions (Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Fe^{3+} and Zn^{2+}) have been characterised via pH potentiometry and X-ray crystallography. The metal ion affinities for the ligands are highest for Fe^{3+} , with both ligands forming similarly stable chelates to the above ions at physiological pH.

It is apparent that **AMGly**₁ and **AMPy**₁ form less stable complexes (by about 2 to 3 log units) to Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Mn^{2+} and Zn^{2+} than does **EDTA**, and that changing one carboxylate group to an amide causes a dramatic decrease (about 10 log units) in Fe^{3+} -L complex stability (L = **AMGly**₁ and **AMPy**₁) compared to **EDTA**. However, at pH 7.4, the pFe^{3+} values of the monoamide derivatives are only about 6 log units lower than for **EDTA**.

We also conclude that changing the pendant group on these **EDTA**-monoamide ligands does not have a large effect on metal ion affinity. Crystallisation of **AMGly**₁ at high pH leads to a coordination polymer, with binuclear $\text{Ca}_2(\text{AMGly})_2$ complexes being bridged by hydrated sodium cations forming the repeat unit. This may, in turn, be a strategy from which other coordination polymers incorporating metal complexes of **EDTA** amides, can be generated.

Supporting Information Summary

Details of experimental procedures; titrimetric studies and speciation diagrams; synthetic procedures; characterisation data for new compounds; ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra.

Acknowledgements

RSM and JAGW are grateful to Procter and Gamble for financial support, whilst JPJ and EGE thank the Spanish MINECO, E.U. FEDER funds (CTQ2013-14892, CONSOLIDER INGENIO 2010-

CSD2010-00065, Unidad de Excelencia MDM 2015-0538) and Generalitat Valenciana (PROMETEO II 2015-002).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: Azacarboxylate ligands · crystal structure · dinuclear calcium complex · EDTA · metal binding constants

- [1] T. M. Rana, M. Ban, J. E. Hearst, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, 33, 4521–4524.
- [2] H. E. Moser, P. B. Dervan, *Science* **1987**, 238, 645–650.
- [3] P. E. Floreancig, S. E. Swalley, J. W. Trauger, P. B. Dervan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, 122, 6342–6350.
- [4] J. C. Joyner, J. A. Cowan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, 133, 9912–9922.
- [5] J. C. Joyner, L. Hocharoen, J. A. Cowan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, 134, 3396–3410.
- [6] D. A. Westerberg, P. L. Carney, P. E. Rogers, S. J. Kline, D. K. Johnson, *J. Med. Chem.* **1989**, 32, 236–243.
- [7] L. Lattuada, A. Barge, G. Cravotto, G. B. Giovenzana, L. Tei, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, 40, 3019–3049.
- [8] M. W. Brechbiel, O. A. Gansow, R. W. Atcher, J. Schlom, J. Esteban, D. Simpson, D. Colcher, *Inorg. Chem.* **1986**, 25, 2772–2781.
- [9] J. F. W. Keana, J. S. Mann, *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, 55, 2868–2871.
- [10] C. F. Meares, T. G. Wensel, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1984**, 17, 202–209.
- [11] A. Leonov, B. Voigt, F. Rodriguez-Castañeda, P. Sakhaei, C. Griesinger, *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2005**, 11, 3342–3348.
- [12] I. E. Platis, M. R. Ermacora, R. O. Fox, *Biochemistry (Mosc.)* **1993**, 32, 12761–12767.
- [13] K. Shin, Y. Dae-Hawn, *J. KorChem Soc* **1999**, 43, 611–613.
- [14] C. M. Micklitsch, P. J. Knerr, M. C. Branco, R. Nagarkar, D. J. Pochan, J. P. Schneider, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, 50, 1577–1579.
- [15] D. L. Boger, J. Zhou, *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, 58, 3018–3024.
- [16] C. M. Micklitsch, Q. Yu, J. P. Schneider, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, 47, 6277–6280.
- [17] T. Storr, B. R. Cameron, R. A. Gossage, H. Yee, R. T. Skerlj, M. C. Dakes, S. P. Fricker, G. J. Bridger, N. A. Davies, M. T. Wilson, et al., *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, 2005, 2685–2697.
- [18] A. F. Danil de Namor, D. Alfredo Pacheco Tanaka, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans.* **1998**, 94, 3105–3110.
- [19] R. M. Smith, A. E. Martell, *Critical Stability Constants*, Springer US: Imprint: Springer, Boston, MA, **1989**, pp 204–211.

- [20] R. D. Hancock, A. E. Martell, *Chem. Rev.* **1989**, *89*, 1875–1914.
- [21] R. J. Motekaitis, A. E. Martell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970**, *92*, 4223–4230.
- [22] L. Alderighi, P. Gans, A. Ienco, D. Peters, A. Sabatini, A. Vacca, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *184*, 311–318.
- [23] W. R. Harris, K. N. Raymond, F. L. Weitzl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 2667–2675.
- [24] L. A. Clapp, C. J. Siddons, D. G. VanDerveer, J. H. Reibenspies, S. B. Jones, R. D. Hancock, *Dalton Trans.* **2006**, 2001–2007.
- [25] B. L. Barnett, V. A. Uchtman, *Inorg. Chem.* **1979**, *18*, 2674–2678.
- [26] B. Metz, D. Moras, R. Weiss, *Acta Crystallogr. B* **1973**, *29*, 1377–1381.
- [27] J. D. Owen, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1978**, 1418–1423.
- [28] L. A. Clapp, C. J. Siddons, J. R. Whitehead, D. G. VanDerveer, R. D. Rogers, S. T. Griffin, S. B. Jones, R. D. Hancock, *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 8495–502.
- [29] W. Tong, R. Zhang, T. Zhang, L. Yang, *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 22031–22037.
- [30] S. Ulvenlund, A. S. Georgopoulou, D. M. P. Mingos, I. Baxter, S. E. Lawrence, A. J. P. White, D. J. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1998**, 1869–1878.

Submitted: May 9, 2017

Accepted: June 1, 2017